

ATTACHMENT 1
Great Lakes Legacy Act
Evaluation Form for Sediment Remediation Proposals

STAGE 1: "MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS CHECK"

NOTE: MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS CHECK TO BE PERFORMED BY GLNPO ONLY

Project Number: _____
Proposal Title: _____
Reviewer's Name: _____

I. Project Requirements

1. Does the project description document that a site assessment has been completed and that an evaluation of remedial alternatives for the area of concern is completed or underway, including a review of the short-term and long-term effects of the alternatives on human health and the environment?

YES ☐ NO ☐

If "NO" circle "DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS" at the end of this section.

2. Is there evidence that the area of concern in which the project is proposed to take place is likely to suffer significant further or renewed contamination from existing sources of pollutants causing sediment contamination following completion of the project?

YES ☐ NO ☐

If "YES" circle "DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS" at the end of this sections and discuss below.

3. Does the proposal provide for and identify a minimum of a 35% cost-share by the non-federal, local sponsor?

YES ☐ NO ☐

If "NO" circle "DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS" at the end of this section.

4. Is project within the boundaries of one of the 31 designated U.S. Areas of Concern?

YES ☐ NO ☐

If "NO" circle "DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS" at the end of this section.

Circle if appropriate: DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS

STAGE 2 : “STRENGTH OF PROPOSAL”

NOTE: STRENGTH OF PROJECTS TO BE EVALUATED BY ENTIRE TRC

Provide a narrative review of the strengths and weaknesses of the proposed project. This review should reflect technical strength and thoroughness of the applicant in addressing the following criteria in their project description and supporting submittals.

1. Project objectives,
2. Justification for action,
3. Measurable environmental results to be achieved,
4. Project has been identified in a Remedial Action Plan and is ready to be implemented,
5. Project will use an innovative approach, technology, or technique that may provide greater environmental benefit at a reduced cost,
6. Probability that remediation will be commenced not later than 1 year after the date of the receipt of funds for the project,
7. Stakeholder involvement and support,
8. Remedial design work completed or underway,
9. Probability that project will reduce risks to human health and the environment,
10. Ability to demonstrate risk reduction,
11. Ability to leverage funds from non-governmental sources,
12. Coordination with all applicable regulatory agencies
13. Experience and ability to perform proposed work,
14. Probability of success,
15. Reasonableness of budget,
16. Soundness of approach, including scientific viability of the project, and
17. Good definition of remedial boundaries
18. Short-term and long-term effects and effectiveness (e.g. residual risk following implementation; permanence of risk reduction; impacts to workers, human health, and the environment during implementation; etc.)

Provide narrative review below:

This image shows a blank sheet of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the paper.